



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,150	07/03/2001	Dietmar Uhde	PD000032	2593
THOMSON m	7590 08/24/2007 ultimedia Licensing Inc.		EXAM	INER
Patent Operation			ORTIZ CRIAL	OO, JORGE L
Two Independe P.O. Box 5312	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/898,150	UHDE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) : is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22-25,27,31-35,37 and 38 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-24, 27, 31-35 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

unpatentable over Bakx U.S. Patent No. 5,072,435 in view of Okazaki et al. U.S. Patent No.

5,831,947 and further in view of Shim U.S. Patent No. 6,608,804.

Regarding claim 22, Bakx discloses a method for reducing an initialization time of an

apparatus for reading from an optical recording medium, said optical recording medium having

identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium

individually among at least optical recording media of the same type (See Abstract; col. 1, line

35 to col. 2, line 57), comprising the steps of:

detecting, from an optical recording medium inserted into said apparatus, the

identification information data of the optical recording medium to identify the optical recording

medium (See col. 5, lines 31-43; Figs. 2,10);

determining if adjustment values associated with control for reading from the identified

optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus (See col. 5, lines 31-43; Figs.

2,10; i.e. intensity, field strength, pulse width, speed);

in response to identifying that adjustment values for said apparatus, setting control and regulating circuits of said apparatus in accordance with stored adjustment values (see col. 5, lines 45-48; Figs. 2,10) and

in response to determining that adjustment values for said apparatus are not accessibly stored, initializing said apparatus to determine respective adjustment values for the control and regulating circuits of said apparatus such that said apparatus is able to optimally read from and write to the identified optical recording medium, and respectively storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus and the corresponding identification data of said identified optical recording medium (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Figs. 2,10).

Bakx discloses that the adjustment parameters are only few examples of the large number of adjustment parameters, which are possible that are associated to adjustment for reading from the identified optical recording medium. Bakx discloses the claimed invention except for the specific adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control.

However, this feature is well known in the art and is evidenced by Okazaki et al., which discloses a method for reducing an initialization time of an apparatus for reading from and writing an optical recording medium, having identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium individually among at least optical recording media of the same type, obtaining the identification information data of an optical recording medium inserted into said apparatus to identify said optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #100; col. 8, lines 14-16);

determining if adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control for reading from and writing to the identified optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus (See Fig. 4, #101; col. 7, line 64 to col. 8, line4; col. 8, lines16-19);

in response to identifying that adjustment values for said apparatus, setting <u>tracking or focus</u> control and regulating circuits of said apparatus in accordance with stored adjustment values (See Fig. 4, #105-107; col. 8, lines 25-43) and

in response to determining that adjustment values for said apparatus are not accessibly stored, initializing said apparatus to determine respective adjustment values for the <u>tracking or focus</u> control and regulating circuits of said apparatus such that said apparatus is able to optimally read from and write to the identified optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #102-103; col. 8, lines 34-42), and respectively storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus and the corresponding identification data of said identified optical recording medium (See Fig. 4, #104; col. 8, lines 34-42).

It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include adjustment values associated with <u>tracking or focus</u> control in order to control and regulates the read and/write operations optimally with high accuracy, controlling parameters that are corrected to accommodate various variations or irregularities in the apparatus for the apparatus for reading from and/or writing an optical recording medium and reducing considerably the time required for automatic regulation of circuits of said apparatus, as taught by Okazaki et al.

Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. further discloses wherein the apparatus comprises an optical read unit, as Bakx discloses where the location for recording the

Art Unit: 2627

identification data depends on the type of the recording media used. But Bakx does not expressly disclose wherein a Burst Cutting Area "BCA" data present on the optical recording media is used as the identification data of the optical recording media, as recited in the claim "wherein a content of a BCA data area on the recording medium is used as the identification data; wherein detecting the identification data comprises coarsely focusing an objective lens of the apparatus and displacing an optical scanner of the apparatus into a position which is predetermined for the BCA data area; and wherein the identification data is detected without track regulation.

However, the features of a "BCA" data area <u>used to obtain identification information</u> or other types of information is well known standard in the art and is normally provided for identification and/or authorization of discs and is evidenced by Shim.

Shim discloses a method for quickly producing read or write readiness of an apparatus for reading from or writing to an optical recording medium, the recording medium having identification information items which individually identify the recording medium individually among recording media of the same type (i.e. same types: "Optical Media", among the same type DVD, CD, CD-ROM, DVD-ROM etc.), which includes of a Burst Cutting Area "BCA" comprising an identification information data to rapidly and accurately performs discrimination of the different discs, by displacing the optical read unit into a position predetermined for the BCA data (BCA area on innermost area of the disk; col. 4, lines1-3; Fig. 4, #402),

coarsely focusing the optical read unit onto the optical recording medium is an inherent characteristics of using a BCA area, at very least some coarse focusing has to be performed, for reading the BCA region, the mere fact that the optical unit has to be positioned on the BCA to read it, implies that at very least a coarse focus has to be performed;

and wherein the identification data is detected without track regulation, this is also an inherent characteristic of using and reading a BCA area of a disk, where servo tracking is not performed, due to the structure of the BCA. Because, a BCA area has a width wider than a track pitch, it applies to two or more tracks. For this reason, track servo is turned OFF when the BCA is reproduced.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include the identification information as in "BCA" data identification in order to quickly and accurately performing the identification as suggested by Shim, and further since the BCA signal level is larger in amplitude and longer in cycle as compared with the pit signal of the program area of the recording medium, the BCA signal is easily distinguished at the time of reproducing by a simple circuit, furthermore the BCA would also aids in piracy protection as well know in the art.

Using a BCA for identification is also admitted by the Applicant, which clearly acknowledged that BCA is known and well used with DVD-Rom media.

These features are prior art admitted by the applicant, which recite that "the invention can generally be applied to optical recording media which can be distinguished using individually stored features or identification information items. This is true, in particular, of DVD-ROM media, since the latter often have a "BCA code" ("Burst Cutting Area") which is individually allocated for each medium or each recording medium. After the uniform production of a series of discs, the "Burst Cutting Area" is applied by a burning operation into a specific area of the individual disc. This BCA data area is normally provided for identification and authorization of the disc. Since this BCA data area uniquely identifies a disc, this BCA data area can be used for

Art Unit: 2627

individual recognition of the corresponding disc" (page 3, line 28 to page 4, line 4 of the specification).

Assuming *arguendo* that the above is not applicant's admission of prior art, the features are taught by the Shim reference as used above.

Regarding claims 23 and 33, Bakx further discloses wherein the adjustment values for said apparatus are stored in a storage means for storing said determined adjustment values for said apparatus (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claims 24 and 34, Bakx further discloses wherein said storage means comprises a "non-volatile" memory (see col. 5, lines 48-61; Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 27, Bakx further discloses wherein the identification data of the optical recording media comprises first data identifying said optical recording medium as one of a plurality of recording types and second data specific to only the respective optical recording medium. (See col. 2, lines 1-21; col. 5, line 31-61; Fig. 2,10).

Regarding claim 31, apparatus claim 31 is drawn to the apparatus that performs the corresponding method claimed in claim 22. Therefore apparatus claims 31 correspond to method claim 22 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding claim 32, Bakx further discloses wherein said detection means comprise a read and a read means (See col. 3, lines 21-22 Fig. 1, ref#3).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 35, Bakx further discloses wherein said storage means comprises at least one of a non-volatile memory of the apparatus and a non-volatile data carrier provided externally to the apparatus (see Fig. 1, ref# 12); Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col.15, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 37, Bakx further discloses wherein a method/apparatus for reducing an initialization time of an apparatus for reading from and/or writing an optical recording mediums having identification information data which enables the identification of the optical recording medium individually among at least optical recording media of the same type, as outlined above with claim 31. Bakx does not expressly disclose the use of DVD-ROM discs as optical recording media. However, an optical recording media encompass DVD-ROM discs, because DVD-ROM discs are optical recording media having identification information data; Okazaki et al also discloses the feature (see col. 1, lines 9-14, which discloses phase change optical disk).

Regarding claim 38, claim 38 recites limitations similar to the claim 22 above and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakx U.S. Patent No. 5,072,435 in combination Okazaki et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,831,947 and Shim U.S. Patent No. 6,608,804 and further in view Scibora U.S. Patent No. 6,366,544.

Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim discloses all the limitations based on claim 22, as outlined above. Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim further shows wherein a storage means is accessible by the apparatus. But Bakx in combination with Okazaki et al. and Shim does not expressly disclose an external storage means.

However this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Scibora, which discloses a storage means carrier provided externally to an apparatus, and in that the content of the file of said storage means is accessible by said apparatus (See col. 3, lines 9-11; col. 4, lines 21-29; Fig. 1).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a storage means provided externally to the apparatus and in that the content of the file of said storage means is accepted into a memory which is provided in the apparatus, because by providing the external storage means allows update by downloading to the memory in the apparatus, with other content files which identifies the recording medium and enable reading the recording medium by the information content downloaded to the memory of the apparatus, as suggested by Scibora.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/25/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argues that Bakx reference absolutely does not teach, disclose or suggest, "determining if adjustments values associated with parameters values for reading from optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus".

And, where Bakx absolutely does not teach, disclose or suggest the Applicant's invention, that directly influence READING, such that teachings of Bakx teach away from the Applicant's claimed invention and that Bakx is silent about a process of reading and that does not contain any motivation to reduce initialization time.

The Examiner cannot concur with Applicant.

Bakx teaches wherein the identification data of the inserted optical recording medium is read by said apparatus before said apparatus reaches "a read readiness state/Optimum conditions" (see col. 5, lines 31-61; col. 6, lines 34-35; step A11, A12, A14 are performed in a non-optimum conditions /before read readiness state, time while the adjustments using the parameters have NOT being made, NOT Optimally Adjusted hence no "fine" focusing are adjusted etc.).

Bakx discloses and teaches reading from and writing the identified optical recording medium which specifically discloses a <u>READ/WRITE</u> head # 3 as in Fig. 1, and where a write means (i.e. elements # 3, #8 in Fig. 1) are <u>Optimally Adjusted</u> depending on the Identification data Read, determining if adjustments values <u>associated</u> with parameters values for reading from and writing to the identified optical recording medium are accessibly stored for said apparatus, in that, as acknowledged by Applicant, Bakx teaches several adjustments parameters (i.e. intensity, field strength, pulse width, speed) and at <u>Very Least</u> the Adjustment parameter of light Intensity, Speed etc., directly influences the ability to READ, and it would be understood to one of ordinary skill in the art, that these parameters are associated with parameters values for reading, to see for example references made of record in 04/07/2004, 12/23/2004, Massakawa U.S. Patent No. 5,155,719, U.S. Patent No. Suzuki 4,989,195).

The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious.

Furthermore, prior art reference teaches away from claimed invention if it suggests that developments flowing from its disclosures are unlikely to produce objective of invention, and what reference teaches person of ordinary skill in art is not limited to what reference specifically "talks about" or what is specifically "mentioned" or "written" in reference. What a reference teaches a person of ordinary skill is not, as "Applicant's expert" appears to believe, limited to what a reference specifically "talks about" or what is specifically "mentioned" or "written" in the reference. Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC v. Apotex Inc., 74 USPQ2d 1823 (CA FC 2005); In re Gurley, 27 F.3d 551, 553 [31 USPO2d 1130] (Fed. Cir. 1994).

KSR forecloses the argument that a specific teaching, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness. Ex parte Smith, USPQ2d, slip op. at 20, (Bd, Pat. App.& Interf. June 25, 2007) (citing KSR, 82 USPQ2d at 1396).

Applicant argue that Okazaki absolutely fails to bridge the substantial gap between the invention of the Applicant and the teachings of Bakx, because Okazaki does not discloses detecting from an optical recording medium inserted in the apparatus the identification data.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The examiner is not relying on Okazaki to show this, because as outlined on the office action above, Bakx teach this feature.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jorge L. Ortiz-Criado whose telephone number is (571) 272-7624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri 10:00 am- 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,150 Page 13

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

joc

/Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627